

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,674	08/19/2003	Dong-ki Hong	1293.1800	3494	
21171 7590 09/30/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			CHU, KIM KWOK		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/642,674	HONG ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kim-Kwok CHU	2627					

	Kim-Kwok CHU	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CF4 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		26(a) and the appropriate	a autonoian foa					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property of the property of the notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	,							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> <li>_ appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-7 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13.  Other:								
ALOA T NOUVENU								
/HOA T NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to the independent Claim 1, Applicant argues that the prior art of Shimada (U.S. Patent 5.898,654) leaches detecting tift or a calibration recording data area instead of Applicant's claimed "detecting and correcting of tilt for a recording or reproducing sector (page 8, first 6 lines). Accordingly, the prior art of Shimada teaches not only detecting tilt for a calibration recording data area, but a whole range of recording/reproducing area from the inner circumference L3 to the outer circumference L4 of the disk 1 (Fig. 65). Although not every locations/sectors of the tilting range L3 to L4 as illustrated in Fig. 66 are detected, the prior art of Shimada does not little area of the disk of the disk

On the other hand, since Applicant does not define a detecting means/elements for the claimed detecting step, the prior art of Shimada's radial tilt evaluator means 50 (Fig. 4) is consider a tilt angle detecting and storing means for obtaining (detecting) tilt angles of the disk 1. And as long as the tilt angles of the disk 1 are obtained and stored, the prior art of Shimada performs a tilt correction operation based on the detected/evaluated till angles as required by Applicant's Claim 1.

Claims 3, 5 7 and 16 have limitations similar to Claim 1 and therefore remain rejected under the prior art of Shimada's detection/correction means and method as explained above.

Examiner: /Kim-Kwok CHU/ (571) 272-7585